Gmail

139

Darrell Petrey <darrell@wehelpothers.com>

Letter to AG

5 messages

Justin Dickman <jdickman@wilmingtonoh.org> Thu, Apr 11, 2024 at 2:11 PM
To: "gregd.pitzer@gmail.com" <gregd.pitzer@gmail.com>
Cc: Darrell Petrey <darrell@wehelpothers.com>

Greg,

I had a pulmonary embolism and almost died earlier this week - hence not getting this to you on Monday as I had told you. Please understand that I have a fine line I must walk in my role as attorney for the City of Wilmington. It does not undermine my heartfelt condolences for both you and your family nor does it dismiss your concerns.

If you'd like for me to also forward this letter to the FBI, please let me know the contact information and I will send them this as well.

I'm not working right now and am generally not available, but you were on my mind and I wanted to make sure you received this.

Justin Dickman

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Wilmington Scanner <wil-scans@wilmingtonps.org>
To: Jane Horne <JHorne@wilmingtonoh.org>
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Thu, 4 Apr 2024 19:14:36 +0000
Subject: Xerox Scan
Please open the scanned attachment

Number of Images: 1
Attachment File Type: PDF

Device Name: VersaLink B7135 MFP
Device Location:

2 attachments

Xerox Scan_04042024151431.pdf
247K

Xerox Scan.eml
342K

Darrell Petrey <darrell@wehelpothers.com> Thu, Apr 11, 2024 at 8:21 PM
To: tony thomas <madmax8541@hotmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]
---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Wilmington Scanner <wil-scans@wilmingtonps.org>
To: Jane Horne <JHorne@wilmingtonoh.org>
Cc:

Bcc:
Date: Thu, 4 Apr 2024 19:14:36 +0000
Subject: Xerox Scan
Please open the scanned attachment

Number of Images: 1
Attachment File Type: PDF

Device Name: VersaLink B7135 MFP
Device Location:

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Wilmington Scanner <wil-scans@wilmingtonps.org>
To: Jane Horne <JHorne@wilmingtonoh.org>
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Thu, 4 Apr 2024 19:14:36 +0000
Subject: Xerox Scan
Please open the scanned attachment

Number of Images: 1
Attachment File Type: PDF

Device Name: VersaLink B7135 MFP
Device Location:

---

3 attachments

Xerox Scan_04042024151431.pdf
247K

Xerox Scan.eml
342K

Xerox Scan_04042024151431.pdf
247K

Darrell Petrey <darrell@wehelpothers.com> Fri, Apr 12, 2024 at 12:23 PM
To: Justin Dickman <jdickman@wilmingtonoh.org>
Cc: "gregd.pitzer@gmail.com" <gregd.pitzer@gmail.com>, Mike Cluxton <mcluxton@wilmingtonoh.org>, Sarah McMahon <smcmahon@wilmingtonoh.org>, Patrick Haley <phaley@wilmingtonoh.org>, Joe Spicer <jspicer@wilmingtonoh.org>, ncholbrook@fbi.gov, Robert Wilson <rwilson@wilmingtonoh.org>
Bcc: [redacted] tony thomas <madmax8541@hotmail.com>, [redacted]

Justin,

First and foremost. Sorry to hear you are having issues with your health. Also, thanks for putting together a letter during that time.

However, after reading the letter and speaking with Greg there are some concerns regarding the letter and the wording.

1. You state that you "Stand behind the police department" in your letter as if you mean, that you stand behind Josh Riley and his 2013 WPD investigation of
Casey Pitzer, the findings, the handling and destruction of the evidence, the withholding of records, and the conclusion of the case.

The issue with that statement you made is, that you told us that you were not investigating the case and that you did not review the pile of evidence that was brought to your office, that sat there for weeks. So how can you claim to stand behind something that you claim to know nothing about, and openly state that you want to know nothing about. If you claim that

you stand behind the police department's investigation, then you should be able to explain why you stand behind them, support the investigation, and you should be able to explain and debate your findings in the case. Otherwise if you can't debate the case and have not reviewed the evidence, then your letter should remain unbiased and impartial. You shouldn't stand behind Greg or the Police department if you haven't ever reviewed the case. Ultimately, you work for the public and your stance should align with the public's best interest in this case, which is a neutral and unbiased stance. Your statement is misleading in a sense that any reader of the letter will believe you agree with the police department's decisions and the investigation, even though you claim to know nothing about it. But if your statement is the case, and you did review the evidence, and you do stand behind the 2013 investigation, then you and I need to have a stout on-the-record debate of the evidence and facts that you, in your words, "stand behind".

2. You mention that Casey's family believes the case investigation lacks integrity in your letter.

The issue with that statement is that it really waters down the truth of who actually believes the investigation lacks integrity. Saying just the Pitzer family believes a lack of integrity is a major understatement. The fact is, 1000's of people all over this country believe the case lacks integrity and deserves a full re-investigation. Over 3,800 citizens (or 1000's of people) have signed a petition demanding the case be reopened/reinvestigated. To only include the Pitzer family in your letter doesn't express the seriousness of the case or show the amount of public interest and support for the Pitzer family. Your letter should certainly include the fact that 1000's of people have signed a petition standing with Greg, his family, and their beliefs that the investigation lacks integrity and deserves a RE-DO. See signed petition of 1000's of people here:

https://www.change.org/p/clinton-county-and-wilmington-ohio-governement-officials-reopen-the-casey-pitzer-suspicious-drowning-case

3. In your letter you stated that the request is on behalf of the Pitzer family.

The issue with that statement is that you and I both know that the family or a private citizen cannot request the Attorney General's or the FBI office to investigate. That has to be done through law enforcement, a prosecutor, a mayor, and or a competent public official. No investigative agency will ever take the case if Greg, who is a private citizen, requests the investigation. Obviously the request will be denied if it is not the city of Wilmington requesting the investigation. So in order to trigger an investigation from an outside agency the request must be sent and signed by your office and the Mayor, on behalf of the city of Wilmington. Then Greg and his family will get the investigation they've always deserved since day 1. The same investigation that Mayor Pat Haley gave his word to Greg would happen.

4. In your letter, you did not mention a single accusation by the family or any reason why the investigation needs to be re-investigated. You did not mention the missing evidence, prematurely destroyed biological evidence, the missing phone records, failed polygraphs, the unenhanced and outdated videos that won't even open and play, that Greg's POA was falsely and unconstitutionally arrested for talking about Casey's case, that the chief and chief detective investigating Casey's case were later deemed unethical/corrupt, the lost/unplayable 5+ hours of video showing Casey last seen alive, the failed BCI cold case checklist, the undocumented injuries that can be seen on Casey's body/hands in the photos, the falsified reports, the missing autopsy photos, the videos of us almost being arrested multiple times for requesting records about Casey, and all the other obvious signs of misconduct and lack of integrity. Justin, I believe I would be accurate to say this on everyone's behalf, that Greg, his family, and all of Casey's supporters would agree that the evidence supporting Greg's beliefs and his accusations should be described in any letter sent to any outside agency requesting an investigation. Let's be clear here, the goal of the request letter is to trigger an investigation with the letter, not to write some watered down letter in an attempt to appease Greg. Knowing ultimately the generic and biased request letter will not work and be denied. Lets share all the facts and accusations in these letters with these investigative agencies, can we please do that?

I know you aren't feeling well Justin. Take some time and heal, Greg is not so worried about rushing the request letter or the speed of it. He is more interested in making sure the request letter is impactful enough to trigger an outside investigation. To help you while you are down, and I think it might be best if Greg writes the letter, then once agreed upon, you and our Mayor both sign it in support of an outside investigation request into Casey Pitzer's death. Greg only wants exactly what Mayor Pat Haley, on-the-record, gave Greg his word that he would do. To get a large strong department, one that we can trust, that handles homicides everyday to come in and investigate the 2013 death of Casey Pitzer. He stated, that is a request that he would make.

Here are some facts that tell us and the rest of the public that an outside agency will come to Wilmington and investigate. One, because even John Stanforth could get the FBI to investigate the camera of Brett Rudduck. Two, even John Stanforth could get BCI to come and fully investigate the 2019 Josh Riley scandal. See here: https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1RvI6Bcs2oEfcWwjEgtk5caidpgQ-Pvbh?usp=drive_link

Last, I would like to request as a public records request the two letters that John Stanforth wrote. The one letter to BCI that triggered the Josh Riley investigation and also the letter that John Stanforth wrote requesting the FBI to investigate Brett Rudduck's camera. I think the two John Stanforth letters will shed some light on how exactly to get these agencies to say "yes" when you ask them to investigate.

Let me know what you think and I hope you get to feeling better soon Justin,
Darrell

[Quoted text hidden]
[Quoted text hidden]
[Quoted text hidden]

Darrell Petrey <darrell@wehelpothers.com> Tue, Jun 18, 2024 at 10:47 AM
To: Justin Dickman <jdickman@wilmingtonoh.org>
Cc: Bob Osborn <BOsborn@wilmingtonoh.org>, David Nanstad <dnanstad@wilmingtonoh.org>, Don Wells <dwells@wilmingtonoh.org>, Faith McLemore <Faithmclemore1205@gmail.com>, Jamie Knowles <jknowles@wilmingtonoh.org>, Joe Spicer <jspicer@wilmingtonoh.org>, [redacted], Matt Purkey <mpurkey@wilmingtonoh.org>, Michael Snarr <msnarr@wilmingtonoh.org>, Mike Cluxton <mcluxton@wilmingtonoh.org>, Patrick Haley <phaley@wilmingtonoh.org>, Robert Wilson <rwilson@wilmingtonoh.org>, Sarah McMahon <smcmahon@wilmingtonoh.org>, [redacted], "gregd.pitzer@gmail.com" <gregd.pitzer@gmail.com>, ncholbrook@fbi.gov, tony thomas <madmax8541@hotmail.com>

Justin,

Can I get a follow up on my previous email?

You silenced the City's officials, who promised transparency and advocacy, by advising them not to speak to us. Can you explain why it was ok to speak to us on-the-record during Jan-April, but not ok from May-present? All while going through the same "pending litigation" you spoke of?

Can you too explain your reasoning why you stated you stand behind the PD's investigation? Please state your reasons and why? Obviously if you stand behind something, then you must know about it and you must have looked into it. But the fact is, in our meeting you stated you didn't want to look at it, you refused to accept the evidence, and that you were going to get "professionals" to look at Casey's case. That has never happened. Why?

What the heck is going on here Mr. Dickman? I'm documenting everything and every action or lack of action that involved officials have made. It will all be embedded into the chapters being written along with the involved officials photos. Do you Mr. Dickman currently stand behind your work as a public servant, your action, and responsiveness to the Pitzer family? Do you think you'd look like an honest person or a very dishonest one at this point? Please refresh your memory with our recorded conversation in the link below;

https://drive.google.com/file/d/15NeMAV_fTv9kaO_kue0jj0xPZDVBBREI/view?usp=drivesdk

Can you please explain why a city who promised transparency to the Pitzer family has resorted to silence? Is it true what people are saying about you Mr. Dickman and your lack of respect and empathy towards Clinton County's deceased? Because you are not showing much respect, truthfulness, or empathy towards the Pitzer family and it clearly shows. One day when this story captivates American (which it will, it is INEVITABLE), all the involved officials who did not do the right thing will be full of regret and absolute embarrassment. All deservingly so.

Mr. Dickman, you are the attorney advising the city to remain silent, so you should be able to explain your actions to the citizens and the Pitzer family. We'd appreciate it if you could muster up an honest response for the deceased victim's family.

Thanks,
Darrell
[Quoted text hidden]

Darrell Petrey <darrell@wehelpothers.com> Fri, Jun 6, 2025 at 9:35 AM
To: [redacted]

[Quoted text hidden]